

Minimum r -neighborhood covering set of permutation graphs

Amita Samanta Adhya

*Research Centre in Natural Sciences
(Department of Computer Science)*

*Raja N. L. Khan Women's College (Autonomous)
Midnapore 721102, India
amitasamanta1@gmail.com*

Sukumar Mondal

Department of Mathematics

*Raja N. L. Khan Women's College (Autonomous)
Midnapore 721102, India
sm5971@rediffmail.com*

Sambhu Charan Barman

Department of Mathematics

*Shahid Matangini Hazra Govt. College for Women
Purba Medinipur 721649, India
barman.sambhu@gmail.com*

Received 3 October 2020

Revised 23 November 2020

Accepted 9 December 2020

Published 25 January 2021

For a connected graph $G(V, E)$ and a fixed integer $r > 0$, a node $q \in V$ r -dominates another node $s \in V$ if $d(q, s) \leq r$. An edge (q, s) is r -neighborhood covered by a vertex t , if $d(q, t) \leq r$ and $d(s, t) \leq r$, i.e., both the vertices q and s are r -dominated by the vertex t . A set $C_r \subseteq V$ is known to be a r -neighborhood covering (r -NC) set of graph G if and only if one or more vertices of C_r r -dominate each edge in E . Among all r -NC sets of graph G , the set with fewest cardinality is the minimum r -NC set of G and we indicate its cardinality as r -NC-number and we denote it by the symbol $\rho(G, r)$. This is an NP-complete problem on general graphs. It is also NP-complete for chordal graphs. Here, we develop an $O(n)$ time algorithm for computing a minimum r -NC set of permutation graphs, where n indicates the order of the set V .

Keywords: r -neighborhood covering; permutation graph; algorithm.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 05C30, 05C12, 68R10

1. Introduction

Permutation graph [5] is one of the vital intersection graphs. It has more complex properties than several special class of subgraphs like trees, interval graphs, circular-arc graphs, etc. It is a sub-class of comparability graphs [12] and trapezoid graphs. Each permutation graph has a unique matching diagram or permutation representation [5]. A matching diagram of a permutation graph having n vertices has two arrays — array of numbers, denoted by i and array of permutation numbers, denoted by $\pi(i)$. If the adjacency list or an adjacency matrix of a permutation graph is given then its matching diagram can be built (see [5, 10]) in $O(n^2)$ time. On the other hand, the two arrays of a matching diagram can be stored in computer memory in just $O(n)$ time. Because of that, we suppose that a permutation representation is provided as the input graph. A permutation graph and its corresponding matching diagram are drawn separately in Figs. 2 and 1, respectively.

An important variation of domination problem is the r -neighborhood covering (r -NC) problem. Domination is a popular and effective model for solving location-problems in the field like networking, operation research, etc. Our considered graph $G(V, E)$ is simple, finite, connected and undirected. We know that a *path* is an alternative finite sequence of nodes and edges of G . The number of edges belong to a path indicates the *length* of that path. Here, we use the symbol $d(v_1, v_2)$ to




Fig. 1. Matching diagram of Fig. 2.




Fig. 2. A permutation graph G .

indicate the *distance* between two node points v_1 and v_2 and we take the minimum length of all paths between them as $d(v_1, v_2)$.

A node point $s \in V$ is r -dominated by a node $q \in V$ if $d(q, s) \leq r$. An edge (q, s) is r -neighborhood covered (r -NCOV) by a vertex t if $d(q, t) \leq r$ and $d(s, t) \leq r$ i.e., both node points q and s are r -dominated by the node point t . A set $C_r \subseteq V$ is known to be a r -NC set of graph G iff one or more vertices of C_r r -dominate each edge in E . Among all r -NC sets of graph G , the set with fewest cardinality is the minimum r -NC set of G and we indicate its cardinality as r -NC-number and we denote it by the symbol $\rho(G, r)$. This is an NP-complete problem on general graphs. In this paper, we develop an optimal algorithm for solving this problem on our considered graph.

1.1. Literature review

The r -NC-problem is a special kind of domination problems. Many researchers studied thoroughly this problem on different graph classes. For interval graphs, Lehel *et al.* [8] developed an algorithm in linear time to evaluate $\rho(G, 1)$. Also, linear-time algorithms are available [3, 7] for evaluating $\rho(G, 1)$ on strongly chordal graphs. Later for chordal graphs, Hwang and Chang [7] verified that this problem is NP-complete. Again, an $O(n)$ time algorithm is available (see [9]) for solving 2-NC problem on interval graphs. After that Ghosh *et al.* [4] formulated an algorithm to determine 2-NC set on trapezoid graphs that runs in $O(n)$ time. Besides these Barman *et al.* [2] solved the r -NC-problem on interval graphs in $O(n)$ time, for fixed $r \in N$. Recently, on permutation graph G , Rana *et al.* [13] developed an algorithm to solve 2-NC problem that takes $O(n + \bar{m})$ time with n nodes and m edges, and \bar{m} indicates the order of $E(\bar{G})$.

1.2. Applications

The r -NC-problem is very interesting section in graph theory. Some real applications of r -NC-problem are found in biological network modeling, facility location problems, survey of land, communication related networks, kernels of games [6], coding theory, etc. On the other hand, in scheduling problem, permutation graph has so many applications. At some definite time duration, for describing storage demands of a number of programs, we can also use permutation graphs [5]. A real life example of permutation graph is Flight-Altitudes problem. Let there are two collections of cities and airports. We can assign flight-altitudes for connecting cities and prevent the intersecting flights from colliding in the mid-air.

1.3. Main outcome

The main outcome of our paper is focused to develop an $O(n)$ time algorithm to obtain a minimum- r -NC set of a simple, connected and undirected permutation-graph.

1.4. Arrangement of this paper

We explain the formation of Breadth-First Search (BFS)-tree T_1^* of our considered graph in the following section. In Sec. 2, notations needed for this work are discussed too. Section 3 contains some vital results associated to the r -NC set of permutation graph. In Sec. 4, we formulate our proposed algorithm for evaluating a minimum r -NC set of permutation graph and discuss about its time complexity.

2. BFS-Tree

BFS is one of the popular graph tour techniques. This search technique always forms a BFS-tree. In BFS technique, we first choose an arbitrary vertex $v \in V$ and placed it at level 0 as the root of the tree. Then we add all the edges of the graph G which are incident to the vertex v such that the addition of edges does not make any cycle. The new vertices added at this stage become the vertices at level 1 in the tree. Next, for each vertex at level 1, we add each new edge of G incident to this vertex to the tree as long as it does not make any cycle. The children of each vertex at level 1 are placed at level 2. Continue the same procedure until all the vertices of G have been added to the tree. Finally, we will get a BFS-tree of G .

For general graphs, making of the BFS-tree can be finished within $O(n + m)$ time [14], where n and m are usual notations. Later, Olariu [11] built that tree (familiar as *interval-tree*) on interval graphs. Mondal *et al.* [9] formulated an algorithm for creating the same tree (denoted by $T^*(i)$) for trapezoid-graphs within $O(n)$ time. Furthermore, Barman *et al.* [1] gave an algorithm (named as *PBFS*) to create the same tree ($T^*(x)$, where node point x is placed as root) for permutation-graphs which compiled in $O(n)$ time. We denote this tree $T^*(x)$ by the symbol T_x^* . The BFS-tree T_1^* of the permutation graph (see Fig. 2) is drawn in Fig. 3.

2.1. Principal-path on T_1^*

Suppose H indicates the height of T_1^* and q is a node point located at the H th level of T_1^* . Obviously, $d(1, q) = H$. Now, we use a new terminology '*principal-path*' to indicate the shortest route/path between q and 1. If we use the symbol $pnode(u)$ to represent the parent node of u , then the principal path will be $q \rightarrow pnode(q) \rightarrow pnode(pnode(q)) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 1$. We also denote the node which lying at k th level on the principal-path by the symbol c_k^* .

2.2. Notations

We make here a list of notations that are needful throughout our work.

- c_j^* : c_j^* is the node at j th level on the principal-path of T_1^* .
- L_j : L_j is the set of elements at j th level on T_1^* .
- A_j : $A_j = L_j - \{c_j^*\}$.
- H : The height of T_1^* .
- $d(x, t)$: The shortest distance between two vertices x and t .




Fig. 3. BFS-tree T_1^* of Fig. 2.

3. Results Associated to r -NC Set of Permutation Graphs

We state and prove the following results.

Lemma 1. For all $g \in \bigcup_{k_1=0}^{r-1} L_{k_1}$, $d(c_{r-1}^*, g) \leq r$.

Proof. Obviously, $d(c_{k_1}^*, c_{r-1}^*) \leq (r - 1 - k_1) \leq r$ (as $c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{r-1}^*$), for $k_1 = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (r - 2)$. If g_{k_1} be any element of A_{k_1} , for $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, (r - 1)$, then, $d(c_{r-1}^*, g_{k_1}) \leq (r + 1 - k_1) \leq r$ (as $c_{r-1}^* \rightarrow c_{r-2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{k_1-1}^* \rightarrow g_{k_1}$ or $c_{r-1}^* \rightarrow c_{r-2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow g_{k_1}$ or $c_{r-1}^* \rightarrow c_{r-2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow g_{k_1}$, etc.), for $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, (r - 1)$. Hence, $d(c_{r-1}^*, g) \leq r, \forall g \in \bigcup_{k_1=0}^{r-1} L_{k_1}$. \square

Observing this result, we have reached to the conclusion stated below.

Corollary 1. c_{r-1}^* is a possible member of r -NC set.

Proof. Watching out the 1st result, we are able to prove that for each edge (g, s) belongs to $E, g, s \in \bigcup_{k_1=0}^{r-1} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_{r-1}^* . Hence the result follows. \square

Lemma 2. If $A_1 = \emptyset$ or each element of A_1 is adjacent with c_1^* or c_2^* , then for all $g \in \bigcup_{k_1=0}^r L_{k_1}$, $d(c_r^*, g) \leq r$.

Proof. Suppose that g_{k_1} is an arbitrary element of A_{k_1} , for $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, H$. Now, it is clear to us that $(c_{k_1}^*, c_{k_1+1}^*) \in E$, for $k_1 = 0, 1, 2, \dots, H$. Again, $d(c_r^*, c_0^*) = r$ (the length of the path $c_0^* \rightarrow c_1^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_r^*$).

Case 1. When $A_1 = \emptyset$.

Here, $d(g_{k_1}, c_r^*) \leq (r + 2 - k_1) \leq r$ (observing these paths $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1-1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_r^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_r^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_r^*$), for $k_1 = 2, 3, \dots, r$. Therefore, $d(c_r^*, g) \leq r, \forall g \in \bigcup_{k_1=0}^r L_{k_1}$.

Case 2. When $(g_1, c_1^*) \in E$ or $(g_1, c_2^*) \in E, \forall g_1 \in A_1$.

In this case, $d(g_1, c_r^*) \leq r$ (see the paths $g_1 \rightarrow c_1^* \rightarrow c_2^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_r^*$ or $g_1 \rightarrow c_2^* \rightarrow c_3^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_r^*$). Also, $d(g_{k_1}, c_r^*) \leq (r + 2 - k_1) \leq r$ (see the paths $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1-1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_r^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_r^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_r^*$), for $k_1 = 2, 3, \dots, r$. So, $d(c_r^*, g) \leq r, \forall g \in \bigcup_{k_1=0}^r L_{k_1}$. Hence the result follows. \square

Observing this result, we have reached to the conclusion stated below.

Corollary 2. *If $A_1 = \emptyset$ or each element of A_1 is adjacent with c_1^* or c_2^* , then c_r^* be a possible member of r -NC set.*

Proof. Watching out the last result, we are able to prove that for each edge (g, h) belongs to E , for all $g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=0}^r L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_r^* . Hence the result follows. \square

Lemma 3. *If at any situation, c_p^* is chosen as an element of r -NC set, then for all $g \in \bigcup_{k_1=1}^r L_{p+k_1}, d(g, c_p^*) \leq r$.*

Proof. Suppose that at any situation, we choose c_p^* as a current element of C_r and g_{p+k_1} is an arbitrary element of A_{p+k_1} , where $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Obviously $(c_{p+k_1-1}^*, g_{p+k_1}) \in E$. Now, $d(g_{p+k_1}, c_p^*) = k_1 \leq r$ (observing this path $c_p^* \rightarrow c_{p+1}^* \rightarrow c_{p+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+k_1-1}^* \rightarrow g_{p+k_1}$), for $k_1 = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r$. Therefore, $d(g, c_p^*) \leq r$, for all $g \in \bigcup_{k_1=1}^r L_{p+k_1}$. \square

Lemma 4. *If at any situation, c_p^* is chosen as an element of r -NC set, then each edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p-(r-2)}^{p+r} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_p^* .*

Proof. Suppose that $g_{k_1} \in A_{k_1}$, for $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, H$ and c_p^* is the current chosen element of r -NC set at any situation. Obviously, $d(c_p^*, c_{p-(r-2)}^*) = r - 2 < r$ (see the path $c_p^* \rightarrow c_{p-1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p-(r-2)}^*$) and $d(c_p^*, c_{p+r}^*) = r$ (observing the path $c_p^* \rightarrow c_{p+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+r}^*$). Now, for $k_1 = p - (r - 2), p - (r - 3), \dots, p, d(c_p^*, g_{k_1}) = p - (k_1 - 2) \leq r$ (observing these paths $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1-1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_p^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_p^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_p^*$). Also, for $k_1 = p + 1, p + 2, \dots, p + r, d(c_p^*, g_{k_1}) = k_1 - p \leq r$ (see the path $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1-1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1-2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_p^*$). So, $d(c_p^*, g_{k_1}) \leq r, \forall g_{k_1} \in \bigcup_{k_1=p-(r-2)}^{p+r} L_{k_1}$. Therefore, each edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p-(r-2)}^{p+r} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_p^* . \square

Lemma 5. *The node g_{k_1} may or may not adjacent to $c_{k_1}^*, \forall g_{k_1} \in A_{k_1}, k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, H$.*

Proof. It is obvious. □

Lemma 6. *If at any situation, c_p^* is chosen as current element of r -NC set and c_{p+2r-2}^* exists, then c_{p+2r-2}^* be a possible member of r -NC set.*

Proof. We suppose that c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation and c_{p+2r-2}^* exists. Also, we suppose that $g_{k_1} \in A_{k_1}$, where $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, H$. So, using the result of Lemma 4, we can write that each edge $(g, h) \in E$, where $g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=1}^r L_{p+k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_p^* . Now, we have to prove that every edge $(g, h) \in E$, where $g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p+r}^{p+2r-2} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_{p+2r-2}^* . It is clear that $d(c_{p+r}^*, c_{p+2r-2}^*) = r - 2 \leq r$ (see the path $c_{p+r}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-2}^*$). Now, for $k_1 = p+r, p+r+1, \dots, p+2r-2$, $d(c_{p+2r-2}^*, g_{k_1}) = p+2r-k_1 \leq r$ (observing these paths $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1-1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-2}^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-2}^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-2}^*$). So, $d(c_{p+2r-2}^*, g_{k_1}) \leq r, \forall g_{k_1} \in \bigcup_{k_1=p+r}^{p+2r-2} L_{k_1}$. Therefore, each edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p+r}^{p+2r-2} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_{p+2r-2}^* , i.e., c_{p+2r-2}^* is a possible member of r -NC set. □

Lemma 7. *If at any situation, c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set and $A_{p+r} = \emptyset$ and c_{p+2r-1}^* exists, then c_{p+2r-1}^* be a possible member of r -NC set.*

Proof. Suppose that c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation and $g_{k_1} \in A_{k_1}$, for $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, H$. Also, we presume that c_{p+2r-1}^* exists. So, using the result of Lemma 4, we can decide that every edge $(g, h) \in E$, where $g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p}^{p+r} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_p^* . Also, $d(c_{p+r}^*, c_{p+2r-1}^*) = (r - 1) < r$ (see the path $c_{p+r}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-1}^*$). Again, let A_{p+r} be an empty set. In this case, for $k_1 = (p + r + 1), (p + r + 2), \dots, (p + 2r - 1)$, $d(c_{p+2r-1}^*, g_{k_1}) = (p + 2r - k_1 + 1) \leq r$ (observing the paths $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1-1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-1}^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-1}^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-1}^*$). So, we can write that every edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p+r}^{p+2r-1} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_{p+2r-1}^* . Hence the result follows. □

Lemma 8. *If c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation and if $(g, c_{p+r}^*) \in E, \forall g \in A_{p+r}$ and c_{p+2r-1}^* exists, then c_{p+2r-1}^* be a possible member of r -NC set.*

Proof. We suppose that c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation and $g_{k_1} \in A_{k_1}$, where $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, H$. Also, we presume that c_{p+2r-1}^* exists. So, applying the result of Lemma 4, we can decide that every edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p}^{p+r} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_p^* . Also, $d(c_{p+r}^*, c_{p+2r-1}^*) = (r - 1) < r$ (see the path $c_{p+r}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-1}^*$). Let $(g, c_{p+r}^*) \in E, \forall g \in A_{p+r}$. In this case, $\forall g_{p+r} \in A_{p+r}, d(c_{p+2r-1}^*, g_{p+r}) \leq r$ (observing the paths $g_{p+r} \rightarrow c_{p+r}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-1}^*$ or $g_{p+r} \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-1}^*$). Also, for $k_1 = (p + r + 1), (p + r + 2), \dots, (p + 2r - 1)$, $d(c_{p+2r-1}^*, g_{k_1}) = (p + 2r - k_1 + 1) \leq r$

(observing the paths $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1-1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-1}^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-1}^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-1}^*$). So, we can write that every edge $(g, h) \in E$, where $g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p+r}^{p+2r-1} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_{p+2r-1}^* . Hence, c_{p+2r-1}^* is a possible member of r -NC set. \square

Lemma 9. *If c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation and if $(g, c_{p+r+1}^*) \in E$ but $(g, c_{p+r}^*) \notin E, \forall g \in A_{p+r}$ and c_{p+2r-1}^* exists, then c_{p+2r-1}^* be a possible member of r -NC set.*

Proof. Suppose that c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set C_r at any situation and $g_{k_1} \in A_{k_1}$, where $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, H$. Also, we presume that c_{p+2r-1}^* exists. So, applying Lemma 4, we can write that every edge $(g, h) \in E$, where $g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p}^{p+r} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_p^* . Also, $d(c_{p+r}^*, c_{p+2r-1}^*) = (r-1) < r$ (watching out the path $c_{p+r}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-1}^*$). Also, let $(g, c_{p+r+1}^*) \in E$ but $(g, c_{p+r}^*) \notin E, \forall g \in A_{p+r}$. Here, $\forall g_{p+r} \in A_{p+r}, d(c_{p+2r-1}^*, g_{p+r}) = (r-1)$ (see the path $g_{p+r} \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-1}^*$). Also, for $k_1 = (p+r+1), (p+r+2), \dots, (p+2r-1), d(c_{p+2r-1}^*, g_{k_1}) = (p+2r-k_1+1) \leq r$ (observing these paths $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1-1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-1}^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-1}^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-1}^*$). So, we can decide that every edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p+r}^{p+2r-1} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_{p+2r-1}^* . Hence the result follows. \square

Lemma 10. *If c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation and $A_{p+r} = \emptyset$ and $A_{p+r+1} = \emptyset$, then c_{p+2r}^* be a possible member of r -NC set.*

Proof. We assume that c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation and g_{k_1} is an arbitrary element of A_{k_1} , where $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, H$. Also, we presume that c_{p+2r}^* exists, and A_{p+r} and A_{p+r+1} are both empty sets. Now, applying Lemma 4, we can write that every edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p}^{p+r} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_p^* . Furthermore, $d(c_{p+r}^*, c_{p+2r}^*) = r$ (see the path $c_{p+r}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$). Since, $A_{p+r} = \emptyset$ and $A_{p+r+1} = \emptyset$ then there is only one edge $(c_{p+r}^*, c_{p+r+1}^*) \in E$ among the elements of L_{p+r} and L_{p+r+1} . Also, $\forall k_1 = (p+r+2), (p+r+3), \dots, (p+2r), d(c_{p+2r}^*, g_{k_1}) = (p+2r-k_1+2) \leq r$ (see these paths $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1-1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$). So, we can decide that every edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p+r}^{p+2r} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_{p+2r}^* . Hence the result follows. \square

Lemma 11. *If c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation and if $(g, c_{p+r+1}^*) \in E, \forall g \in A_{p+r} \cup A_{p+r+1}$ and c_{p+2r}^* exists, then c_{p+2r}^* be a possible member of r -NC set.*

Proof. We suppose that c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation and g_{k_1} is an arbitrary element of A_{k_1} , where $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, H$. Also, we presume that $(g, c_{p+r+1}^*) \in E, \forall g \in A_{p+r} \cup A_{p+r+1}$ and c_{p+2r}^* exists. Now, applying

Lemma 4, we can write that every edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p}^{p+r} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_p^* . Also, $d(c_{p+2r}^*, c_{p+r}^*) = r$ (see the path $c_{p+r}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$). Again, $d(c_{p+2r}^*, g_{p+r}) = r$ (see the path $g_{p+r} \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$), $\forall g_{p+r} \in A_{p+r}$. Furthermore, $\forall g_{p+r+1} \in A_{p+r+1}, d(c_{p+2r}^*, g_{p+r+1}) \leq r$ (observing these paths $g_{p+r+1} \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$ or $g_{p+r+1} \rightarrow c_{p+r+2}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+3}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$). Besides these, for $k_1 = (p+r+2), (p+r+3), \dots, (p+2r)$, $d(c_{p+2r}^*, g_{k_1}) = (p+2r - k_1 + 2) \leq r$ (seeing these paths $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1-1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$). So, we can write that each edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p+r}^{p+2r} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_{p+2r}^* . Hence c_{p+2r}^* is a possible member of r -NC set. \square

Lemma 12. *If c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation and $A_{p+r} = \emptyset$ and $(g, c_{p+r+1}^*) \in E, \forall g \in A_{p+r+1}$ and c_{p+2r}^* exists, then c_{p+2r}^* be a possible member of r -NC set.*

Proof. Suppose that c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation and g_{k_1} is an arbitrary element of A_{k_1} , where $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, H$. Also, we presume that A_{p+r} is an empty set and $(g, c_{p+r+1}^*) \in E, \forall g \in A_{p+r+1}$ and c_{p+2r}^* exists. Now, applying the result of Lemma 4, we can write that every edge $(g, h) \in E$, where $g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p}^{p+r} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_p^* . Again, $d(c_{p+2r}^*, c_{p+r}^*) = r$ (see the path $c_{p+r}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$). Furthermore, $\forall g_{p+r+1} \in A_{p+r+1}, d(c_{p+2r}^*, g_{p+r+1}) \leq r$ (see the paths $g_{p+r+1} \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$ or $g_{p+r+1} \rightarrow c_{p+r+2}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+3}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$). Besides these, for $k_1 = (p+r+2), (p+r+3), \dots, (p+2r)$, $d(c_{p+2r}^*, g_{k_1}) = (p+2r - k_1 + 2) \leq r$ (observing these paths $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1-1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$). So, we can decide that every edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p+r}^{p+2r} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_{p+2r}^* . Hence the result follows. \square

Lemma 13. *If c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation and $A_{p+r+1} = \emptyset$ and $(g, c_{p+r+1}^*) \in E, \forall g \in A_{p+r}$ and c_{p+2r}^* exists, then c_{p+2r}^* be a possible member of r -NC set.*

Proof. Suppose that c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation and g_{k_1} is an arbitrary element of A_{k_1} , where $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, H$. Also, we presume that $A_{p+r+1} = \emptyset$ and $(g, c_{p+r+1}^*) \in E, \forall g \in A_{p+r}$ and c_{p+2r}^* exists. Now, with the help of Lemma 4, we can write that every edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p}^{p+r} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_p^* . Again, $d(c_{p+2r}^*, c_{p+r}^*) = r$ (see the path $c_{p+r}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$). Furthermore, $\forall g_{p+r} \in A_{p+r}, d(c_{p+2r}^*, g_{p+r}) = r$ (observing the path $g_{p+r} \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$). Besides these, $\forall k_1 = (p+r+2), (p+r+3), \dots, (p+2r)$, $d(c_{p+2r}^*, g_{k_1}) = (p+2r - k_1 + 2) \leq r$ (seeing these paths $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1-1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$ or $g_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r}^*$). So, we can decide that every edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p+r}^{p+2r} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_{p+2r}^* . Therefore c_{p+2r}^* is a possible member of r -NC set. \square

Lemma 14. *If H is less than r then $c_{k_1}^* \in C_r$, where k_1 represents only one arbitrary element in the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, H\}$.*

Proof. We suppose that $H < r$ and $g_{k_1} \in L_{k_1}$, $k_1 \in \{1, 2, \dots, H\}$. Now, $\forall k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, H$, $d(c_0^*, g_{k_1}) = k_1 < r$ (see the path $c_0^* \rightarrow c_1^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{k_1-1}^* \rightarrow g_{k_1}$). So, each edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=0}^H L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_0^* . Again, $\forall d(c_0^*, c_H^*) = H < r$ (see the path $c_0^* \rightarrow c_1^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_H^*$). Let h_{k_1} be an arbitrary element of $L_{k_1} - \{c_{k_1}^*\}$, where $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, H$. Also, $\forall k_1 = 1, 2, 3, \dots, H$, $d(c_H^*, h_{k_1}) \leq (H + 2 - k_1) \leq r$ (seeing these paths $h_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1-1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_H^*$ or $h_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_H^*$ or $h_{k_1} \rightarrow c_{k_1+1}^* \rightarrow c_{k_1+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_H^*$). So, we can write that every edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=0}^H L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_H^* . Similarly, we can say that, every edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=0}^H L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by other elements (excluding c_0^*, c_H^*) of the principal-path. Hence the result follows. \square

Lemma 15. *If $H \in [r, 2r - 1]$, then $C_r = \{c_{r-1}^*\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $H \in [r, 2r - 1]$ and $g_{k_1} \in A_{k_1}$, where $g_{k_1} \in L_{k_1}$, $k_1 \in \{1, 2, \dots, H\}$. Now, applying the result of Corollary 1, we can write that every edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=0}^{r-1} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_{r-1}^* . Again, using the result of Lemma 4, we can decide that every edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=r-1}^{2r-1} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_{r-1}^* . So, we can write that every edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=0}^{2r-1} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_{r-1}^* . Hence the result follows. \square

Lemma 16. *If c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation and $H \in [p + r + 1, p + 2r - 3]$, then $c_{p+r+k_1}^*$ be a possible member of r -NC set, where k_1 represents only one arbitrary element in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, r - 3\}$.*

Proof. We suppose that $p + r + 1 \leq H \leq p + 2r - 3$ and c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation. Also, we presume that $g_{k_1} \in L_{k_1}$, where $k_1 \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, H\}$. Now, with the help of Lemma 4, we can write that every edge $(g, h) \in E, g, h \in \bigcup_{k_1=p}^{p+r} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_p^* . Now, $\forall k_1 = 2, 3, \dots, r - 3$, $d(c_{p+r+1}^*, g_{p+r+k_1}) = (k_1 - 1) < r$ (see the path $c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+r+k_1-1}^* \rightarrow g_{p+r+k_1}$). Furthermore, $d(c_{p+r+1}^*, g_{p+r+1}) \leq 2$ (seeing the paths $g_{p+r+1} \rightarrow c_{p+r}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^*$ or $g_{p+r+1} \rightarrow c_{p+r+1}^*$). So, we can write that every edge $(g, z) \in E, g, z \in \bigcup_{k_1=p+r+1}^{p+2r-3} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_{p+r+1}^* . Again, $d(c_{p+r+1}^*, c_{p+2r-3}^*) = (r - 4) < r$ (see the path $c_{p+r+1}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-3}^*$).

Let z_{p+r+k_1} be an arbitrary element of $L_{p+r+k_1} - \{c_{p+r+k_1}^*\}$, where $k_1 = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, r - 3$. Also, $\forall k_1 = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, r - 4$, $d(c_{p+2r-3}^*, z_{p+r+k_1}) \leq (r - 1 - k_1) \leq r$ (observing these paths $z_{p+r+k_1} \rightarrow c_{p+r+k_1-1}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+k_1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-3}^*$ or $z_{p+r+k_1} \rightarrow c_{p+r+k_1}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+k_1+1}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-3}^*$ or $z_{p+r+k_1} \rightarrow c_{p+r+k_1+1}^* \rightarrow c_{p+r+k_1+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_{p+2r-3}^*$). Furthermore, $d(c_{p+2r-3}^*, z_{p+2r-3}) \leq 2$ (seeing these

paths $z_{p+2r-3} \rightarrow c_{p+2r-4}^* \rightarrow c_{p+2r-3}^*$ or $z_{p+2r-3} \rightarrow c_{p+2r-3}^*$). So, we can decide that every edge $(g, z) \in E, g, z \in \cup_{k_1=p+r}^{p+2r-3} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by c_{p+2r-3}^* .

Similarly, we can say that, each edge $(g, z) \in E, g, z \in \cup_{k_1=p+r}^{p+2r-3} L_{k_1}$ is r -NCOV by other elements (excluding $c_{p+r+1}^*, c_{p+2r-3}^*$) of the principal-path. Hence the result follows. \square

4. Algorithm and its Complexity

Method F-NXT-P(p, P)

//This procedure is for computation of the level P when c_p^* is the upcoming element of set C_r , whenever it is assumed that c_p^* is current chosen element of set C_r . Also, the array $c_{k_1}^*, k_1 = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, H$, and the sets P_{k_1}, A_{k_1} are known globally. Here, $H > p + r$.//

Initially $P = p$;

if $A_{p+r} \cup A_{p+r+1} = \emptyset$ or if $(g, c_{p+r+1}^*) \in E, \forall g \in A_{p+r} \cup A_{p+r+1}$ or if $A_{p+r} = \emptyset$ and

$(g, c_{p+r+1}^*) \in E, \forall g \in A_{p+r+1}$ or if $A_{p+r+1} = \emptyset$ and $(g, c_{p+r+1}^*) \in E, \forall g \in A_{p+r}$ and c_{p+2r}^* exists, then $P = p + 2r$; (Lemmas 10-13)

else if $A_{p+r} = \emptyset$ or if $(g, c_{p+r}^*) \in E, \forall g \in A_{p+r}$ or if $(g, c_{p+r+1}^*) \in E$ but $(g, c_{p+r}^*) \notin E$,

$\forall g \in A_{p+r}$ and c_{p+2r-1}^* exists, then $P = p + 2r - 1$; (Lemmas 7-9)

else if c_{p+2r-2}^* exists, then $P = p + 2r - 2$; (Lemma 6)

else $P = p + r + k_1$, for any $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, r - 3$; (Lemma 16)

endif;

Turn back P ;

end F-NXT-P

Observing the results discussed in Sec. 3, we can decide that c_{r-1}^* is always a possible element of r -NC set. Also, we have observed that if c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation, then there are three choices for selecting possible elements of r -NC set. Here, we can choose either c_{p+2r-2}^* or c_{p+2r-1}^* or c_{p+2r}^* as a new element of r -NC set depend upon some conditions. All the possible occurrences to choose the elements of r -NC set are meanwhile discussed in Sec. 3. Also, we develop a technique F-NXT-P in the above to compute the level P for upcoming element c_p^* of r -NC set C_r , if p , the level of the current chosen element c_p^* is available.

Here, we are representing the final algorithm for computing a minimum r -NC set C_r for permutation graphs with the help of the procedure F-NXT-P recurrently. The complete algorithm is represented as follows:

Algorithm MRNCSP

Given: Corresponding permutation representation k_1 and $\pi(k_1)$ of a permutation graph G , where $k_1 = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$.

Result: The r -NC set C_r (with fewest cardinality) of G .

At first set $C_r = \emptyset$ and $c_0^* = 1$, the root of T_1^* .

Step 1: Make BFS-tree T_1^* and compute its height H .

Step 2: Obtain the node points located on the principal-path of T_1^* and suppose these are $c_{k_1}^*, k_1 = 0, 1, 2, \dots, H$.

Step 3: Determine the sets L_{k_1} and $A_{k_1}, k_1 = 0, 1, 2, \dots, H$.

Step 4: If H is less than r then $C_r = C_r \cup \{c_p^*\}$, where p represents only one arbitrary element of $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, H\}$; (Using Lemma 14)

else if $H \in [r, 2r - 1]$ then $C_r = C_r \cup \{c_{r-1}^*\}$; (Using Lemma 15)

else if $A_1 = \emptyset$ or each element of A_1 is adjacent with c_1^* or c_2^* then

$p = r$; (Using Corollary 2)

else $p = r - 1$; (Using Corollary 1)

endif;

$C_r = C_r \cup \{c_p^*\}$.

Step 5: Repeat

Call F-NXT-P(p, P); //search level P for upcoming element of

C_r //

$p = P$;

$C_r = C_r \cup \{c_p^*\}$;

Until ($|H - p| \leq r$);

end MRNCSP.

If we apply the Algorithm MRNCSP on the permutation graph G displayed in Fig. 2 for $r = 2$, then we get a minimum r -NC set $C_r = \{7, 12, 14\}$.

Lemma 17. *The r -NC set C_r is a minimum r -NC set.*

Proof. In Step 4 of our final Algorithm MRNCSP, we set up $C_r = \{c_p^*\}$, where p represents only one arbitrary element of the set $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, H\}$ depend upon the condition $H \leq r$ shown in Lemma 14. So, that r -NC set C_r is minimum as its cardinality is one. Again, if $H \in [r, 2r - 1]$, then we set up $C_r = \{c_{r-1}^*\}$ applying the result of Lemma 15. So, C_r has again minimum number of elements for $H \in [r, 2r - 1]$. Besides these, if $H \geq 2r$, then one of c_r^* and c_{r-1}^* will be a possible element of r -NC set. The node c_r^* to be a possible member of r -NC set depends upon the conditions of Corollary 2 and other node c_{r-1}^* to be a possible member of r -NC set depends upon the conditions of Corollary 1. In that case, we give our preference on c_r^* (if possible) to choose as the first element of r -NC set C_r , otherwise we choose c_{r-1}^* as the first element of C_r . Now, suppose c_p^* is chosen as a current element of r -NC set at any situation, then there are only three options for selecting possible elements of r -NC set. Either c_{p+2r-2}^* or c_{p+2r-1}^* or c_{p+2r}^* will be chosen

as the new element of r -NC set at upcoming step depends upon some conditions. In these cases, we give our first preference to c_{p+2r}^* , second preference to c_{p+2r-1}^* and 3rd preference to c_{p+2r-2}^* in such a way that selected member r -neighborhood covers maximum number of edges. So, the final r -NC set C_r is a minimum r -NC set. \square

Theorem 1. *The run time of the procedure **F-NXT-P** is just $O(|\bigcup_{k_1=0}^{2r-3} L_{p+k_1}|) \approx O(n)$, where $|V| = n$.*

Proof. It is clear to us that the sets $L_{k_1}, k_1 = 1, 2, 3, \dots, H$ and the sets $A_{k_1}, k_1 = 1, 2, 3, \dots, H$ are mutually-exclusive, that is $L_{k_1} \cap L_j = \emptyset$ and $A_{k_1} \cap A_j = \emptyset$, for $k_1 \neq j$ and $k_1, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, H$ and $L_{k_1} = A_{k_1} \cup \{c_{k_1}^*\}$, where $k_1 = 1, 2, 3, \dots, H$. Also, we have observed that the nodes of the sets L_{p+k_1} , for $k_1 = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2r-3$ are taken into consideration for processing the sets used in the procedure **F-NXT-P**. Hence, the sum of the numbers of the nodes in these sets is $|\bigcup_{k_1=0}^{2r-3} L_{p+k_1}|$ and the induced subgraph $G(\bigcup_{k_1=0}^{2r-3} L_{p+k_1})$ is a part of T_1^* . Therefore, in that part of T_1^* , at most $|\bigcup_{k_1=0}^{2r-3} L_{p+k_1}| - 1$ edges may exist. Hence, the run time for computing the procedure **F-NXT-P** is $O(|\bigcup_{k_1=0}^{2r-3} L_{p+k_1}|) \approx O(n)$. \square

Theorem 2. *$O(n)$ time is needed to run the algorithm **MRNCSP** for computation of a minimum r -NC set of a connected permutation graph G , where $n = |V(G)|$.*

Proof. For a given permutation representation, we can make T_1^* in $O(n)$ time (see Step 1). Also, the height of T_1^* can be computed in $O(n)$ time. In Step 2, we are also able to determine all the elements of the principal-path in just $O(n)$ time as there are only $H + 1 \leq n$ elements on principal-path. We are also able to evaluate set L_{k_1} and A_{k_1} in $O(n)$ time (see Step 3) as they are mutually exclusive. Again, for a given level p , only $O(|\bigcup_{k_1=0}^{2r-3} L_{p+k_1}|)$ time is needed to find the upcoming element by the procedure **F-NXT-P**. The algorithm **MRNCSP** calls the procedure **F-NXT-P** for $|C_r| - 1$ times. In each iteration, the value of p is grown by $2r$ or $2r - 1$ or $2r - 2$. So, Step 5 needs $O(|\bigcup_{k_1=0}^H L_{k_1}|) = O(n)$ time. Hence, $O(n)$ time is needed to run the algorithm **MRNCSP**. \square

Theorem 3. *The algorithm **MRNCSP** can be compiled in computer consuming $O(n)$ space only, where $n = |V|$.*

Proof. There are two sets in a given permutation-representation. First one is the set of numbers $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ and second one is the collection of permutation-number $\pi(k_1), k_1 = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. We can store these two sets in computer memory in $O(n)$ space. We also able to store the tree T_1^* and the related sets $L_{k_1}, k_1 = 1, 2, 3, \dots, H$ and the elements of principal-path $c_{k_1}^*, k_1 = 1, 2, 3, \dots, H$ in $O(n)$ space. Again, $|C_r| \leq n$. Therefore, overall $O(n)$ space is required to run the Algorithm **MRNCSP**. \square

5. Conclusion

The r -NC problem has been discussed briefly by many researchers and many real-world applications are found regarding this problem. Here, we solve this r -NC problem for a simple, connected and undirected permutation-graphs in $O(n)$ time. Our future planning is to apply our technique to compute r -NC set on Trapezoid-graphs, chordal graphs, etc.

References

- [1] S. C. Barman, M. Pal and S. Mondal, An efficient algorithm to find next-to-shortest path on permutation graphs, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **31** (2009) 369–384, doi:org/10.1007/s12190-008-0218-1.
- [2] S. C. Barman, M. Pal and S. Mondal, The k -neighborhood-covering problem on interval graphs, *Int. J. Comput. Math.* **87**(9) (2010) 1918–1935, doi:10.1080/00207160802676570.
- [3] G. J. Chang, M. Farber and Z. Tuza, Algorithmic aspects of neighborhood numbers, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **6** (1993) 24–29, doi:10.1137/0406002.
- [4] P. K. Ghosh and M. Pal, An optimal algorithm to solve 2-neighborhood-covering problem on trapezoid graphs, *Adv. Model. Optim.* **9**(1) (2007) 15–36.
- [5] M. C. Golumbic, *Algorithmic Graph Theory and Perfect Graphs* (Academic Press, New York, 1980), doi:10.1016/c2013-0-10739-8.
- [6] S. T. Hedetniemi and R. C. Laskar, Bibliography on domination in graphs and some basic definitions of domination parameters, *Discrete Math.* **86**(1–3) (1990) 257–277, doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(90)90365-O.
- [7] S. F. Hwang and G. J. Chang, k -neighborhood covering and independence problems for chordal graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **11**(4) (1998) 633–643, doi:10.1137/S0895480193244322.
- [8] J. Lehel and Z. Tuza, Neighborhood perfect graphs, *Discrete Math.* **61** (1986) 93–101, doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(86)90031-2.
- [9] S. Mondal, M. Pal and T. K. Pal, An optimal algorithm to solve 2-neighborhood covering problem on interval graphs, *Int. J. Comput. Math.* **79**(2) (2002) 189–204, doi:10.1080/00207160211921.
- [10] J. H. Muller and J. Spinrad, Incremental modular decomposition, *J. ACM* **36** (1989) 1–19, doi:org/10.1145/58562.59300.
- [11] S. Olariu, An optimal greedy heuristic to color interval graphs, *Inf. Process. Lett.* **37** (1991) 21–25, doi:org/10.1016/0020-0190(91)90245-D.
- [12] A. Pnueli, A. Lempel and S. Even, Transitive orientation of graphs and identification of permutation graphs, *Canad. J. Math.* **23** (1971) 160–175, doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1971-016-5.
- [13] A. Rana, A. Pal and M. Pal, The 2-neighborhood covering problem on permutation graphs, *Adv. Model. Opt.* **13**(3) (2011) 463–476.
- [14] R. E. Tarjan, Depth first search and linear graph algorithm, *SIAM J. Comput.* **2** (1972) 146–160, doi:10.1137/0201010.